

LIPID CHARACTERIZATION OF STARVED AND **GRASS SHRIMP-FED CUTTLEFISH** (Sepia officinalis, L.), DURING THE FIRST 7 DAYS AFTER HATCHING





António V. Sykes^{*1,2}, Eduardo Almansa³, Rebecca Martin⁴, Covadonga Rodríguez², Antonio Lorenzo² and José P. Andrade¹

¹CCMAR-CIMAR L.A; ²Dpto. Biología Animal, Universidad de La Laguna, Sta. Cruz de Tenerife, Spain; ⁴University College of Cork, Republic of Ireland.

Introduction

Sepia officinalis is a promising species for commercial aquaculture. Nonetheless, the hatchling stage is where most mortality occurs. Domingues et al. (2004) suggested that imbalances in the lipid profile of prey may result in lower growth and higher mortality. Grass shrimp has been used as prey for this first stage culture with good results and suggested as a model prey for nutritional studies (Sykes et al. 2006). Grass shrimp nutritional content displays a lipid profile almost similar to that of cuttlefish eggs during embryonic development (Sykes et al. 2009). Despite the work of Castro et al. (1992) to determine the use/mobilization of lipids in cuttlefish juveniles, no previous experiments studied cuttlefish lipid mobilization at the hatchling stage in starved and fed animals, and from the first day after hatching (DAH).

Objective:

Conclusions:

 \triangleright To obtain further insight on the lipid \triangleright Cuttlefish seems to display mobilization of cuttlefish at the hatchling stage under starvation and grass shrimp fed conditions.

metabolic а adaptation capacity which is feeding related; Grass shrimp lipid profile seems to be suitable to cover structural and energetic demands at first feeding of cuttlefish hatchlings.

11000 -

9000-

7000-

3000-



Material and Methods

Fatty Acids (Christie, 1982)





Results





Table 1 - Fatty acid of TL (µg cuttlefish⁻¹) of starved cuttlefish hatchlings.

FA/DAH	STA1			STA3			STA5			STA7		
16:0	112.3	±	24.7 ^a	115.2	±	6.9 ^a	92.5	±	8.7 ^{ab}	56.4	±	3.6 ^b
18:0	53.9	±	10.7 ^{ab}	58.1	±	1.2 ^{ab}	67.3	±	5.6 ^b	43.4	±	2.9 ^a
18:1 n-9	15.1	±	1.9 ^a	16.9	±	0.3 ^a	15.0	±	1.4 ^a	9.6	±	0.5 ^b
18:1 n-7	8.7	±	1.0 ^a	8.4	±	0.0 ^a	6.0	±	0.4 ^b	3.8	±	0.1 ^c
18:2 n-6	1.9	±	0.1 ^a	1.9	±	0.2 ^{ab}	2.5	±	0.2 ^b	1.9	±	0.2 ^a
20:4 n-6	5.5	±	0.4 ^a	9.2	±	2.5 ^{ab}	9.5	±	0.7 ^b	7.3	±	1.0 ^{ab}
20:5 n-3	77.1	±	3.6 ^a	76.7	±	13.8 ^a	69.9	±	5.8 ^{ab}	50.4	±	7.4 ^b
22:6 n-3	98.8	±	3.8	105.5	±	25.8	115.3	±	7.9	83.2	±	13.2
Σ	459.6	±	57.1 ^a	487.8	±	30.7 ^a	469.6	±	23.3 ^a	316.0	±	34.0 ^b
Saturated	183.2	±	39.3 ^a	190.4	±	9.3 ^a	170.9	±	15.4 ^a	106.7	±	6.9 ^b
Monoenes	51.8	±	6.7 ^a	55.4	±	1.2 ^a	49.7	±	4.5 ^a	32.6	±	1.4 ^b
n-3	185.6	±	7.4	193.0	±	41.3	200.3	±	14.7	144.8	±	22.4
n-6	10.9	±	0.9	15.3	±	2.7	15.0	±	1.0	11.4	±	1.5
n-9	34.8	±	3.6 ^a	38.9	±	1.2 ^a	36.7	±	3.7 ^a	24.1	±	1.3 ^b
n-3 HUFA	181.7	±	7.1	187.8	±	40.5	190.6	±	14.2	137.4	±	21.3
n-3/n-6	38.6	±	1.6 ^a	29.0	±	2.9 ^b	28.1	±	1.0 ^b	25.0	±	1.3 ^b
EPA/DHA	0.78	±	0.03 ^a	0.74	±	0.06 ^a	0.61	±	0.02 ^b	0.61	±	0.01 ^b
AA/EPA	0.07	±	0.00 ^a	0.12	±	0.01 ^b	0.14	±	0.01 ^{bc}	0.14	±	0,00 ^c
AA/DHA	0.06	±	0.00 ^a	0.09	±	0.00 ^b	0.08	±	0.01 ^b	0.09	±	0.00 ^b

Table 2 - Fatty acid of TL (µg.cuttlefish⁻¹) of fed cuttlefish hatchlings.

FA/DAH	FED1			FED3			FED5				FED7		
16:0	112.3	±	24.7 ^a	147.1 ±	6.6 ^{ab}	*	165.9	±	8.5 ^b	*	168.5 ± 4.6^{b}	*	
18:0	53.9	±	10.7 ^a	75.6 ±	5.6 ^b	*	86.4	±	4.2 ^{bc}	*	$103.8 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$	*	
18:1 n-9	15.1	±	1.9 ^a	24.6 ±	1.0 ^b	*	25.9	±	1.9 ^b	*	$43.9 \pm 3.3^{\circ}$	*	
18:1 n-7	8.7	±	1.0 ^a	13.5 ±	0.6 ^a	*	13.4	±	1.3 ^a	*	26.6 ± 3.1^{b}	*	
18:2 n-6	1.9	±	0.1 ^a	3.5 ±	0.3 ^a	*	3.3	±	0.6 ^a		13.3 ± 2.0^{b}	*	
20:4 n-6	5.5	±	0.4 ^a	14.0 ±	0.7 ^b	*	16.1	±	3.5 ^b	*	18.3 ± 3.6^{b}	*	
20:5 n-3	77.1	±	3.6	119.8 ±	17.2	*	119.8	±	28.5	*	125.7 ± 21.0	*	
22:6 n-3	98.8	±	3.8	166.3 ±	22.3	*	174.5	±	46.9		150.9 ± 30.5	*	
Σ	459.6	±	57.1 ^a	691.0 ±	39.3 ^b	*	740.3	±	106.1 ^b	*	808.8 ± 51.6^{b}	*	
Saturated	183.2	±	39.3 ^a	243.7 ±	12.3 ^{ab}	*	274.3	±	13.3 ^b	*	295.6 ± 7.1^{b}	*	
Monoenes	51.8	±	6.7 ^a	79.4 ±	1.9 ^b	*	83.7	±	6.6 ^b	*	$120.5 \pm 6.7^{\circ}$	*	
n-3	185.6	±	7.4 ^a	302.7 ±	41.0 ^b	*	311.4	±	79.5 ^b	*	299.7 ± 55.6^{b}	*	
n-6	10.9	±	0.9 ^a	22.8 ±	1.6 ^b	*	24.7	±	5.1 ^b	*	$39.3 \pm 6.2^{\circ}$	*	
n-9	34.8	±	3.6 ^a	53.1 ±	1.2 ^b	*	57.0	±	4.1 ^b	*	$72.3 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$	*	
n-3 HUFA	181.7	±	7.1	294.9 ±	40.9	*	303.2	±	78.0	*	286.6 ± 53.8	*	
n-3/n-6	38.6	±	1.6 ^a	27.5 ±	0.3 ^b		27.3	±	1.5 ^b		18.4 ± 1.7^{c}	*	
EPA/DHA	0.78	±	0.03 ^{ac}	0.72 ±	0.01 ^{ab}		0.69	±	0.02 ^b	*	$0.84 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	*	
AA/EPA	0.07	±	0.00 ^a	0.12 ±	0.01 ^b		0.13	±	0.00 ^{bc}		$0.14 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$		
AA/DHA	0.06	±	0.00 ^a	0.09 ±	0.01 ^b		0.09	±	0.00 ^b		$0.12 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	*	

Table 3 - Fatty acid of TL(µg.grass shrimp⁻¹) of grass shrimp.

Shrimp Composition			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16.0	880 7	+	106 3
18.0	/127 5	÷ +	5/ 2
10.0 19.1 n 0	437.J	- -	54.5 67.0
10.1 II-5 10.1 p 7	JOL.J	<u> </u>	
10.1 II-7 19:2 m C	410.1 141 F	±	51.4 20.0
18:2 n-6	141.5	±	28.8
18:3 n-3	102.3	±	47.1
20:4 n-6	134.5	±	24.2
20:5 n-3	1082.9	±	197.9
22:6 n-3	621.4	±	132.2
Σ	5204.1	±	803.9
Saturated	1496.6	±	184.5
Monoenes	1224.6	±	159.1
n-3	1769.1	±	348.0
n-6	310.4	±	59.6
n-9	628.3	±	74.8
n-3 HUFA	1731.3	±	340.0
n-3/n-6	14.6	±	1.1
EPA/DHA	1.75	±	0.07
AA/EPA	0.12	±	0.00
ΔΑ/ΟΗΑ	0.22	+	0.01

Results represent means ± S.D. (n≥3). Totals include minor components not shown. Superscript lette represent differences within the same row for p<0.05.

Footnotes as Table 1. Asterisk represent differences between starved and fed hatchlings at the same period of time for p<0.05.

- 1) At hatching, cuttlefish displayed a lipid profile rich in phospholipids and CHO, as well as in 16:0, EPA and DHA;
- 2) During starvation, a general drop in PC, PI and TG, a preferential conservation of PE, PS and CHO, and an increment in SE were observed;
- 3) A significant reduction in body contents of 16:0, 18:1n-9 and EPA, and the specific preservation of AA and DHA were also registered at the same period;
- 4) Differences in TL, LC and FAs among groups started to be noted when yolk reserves were exhausted (between the 3rd and 5th DAH);
- 5) The lipid profile of fed cuttlefish resembled that of dietary grass shrimp, which lead to increments of TL, structural lipids, 16:0 and the essential fatty acids EPA, DHA and AA.

 \succ Grass shrimp displayed almost 10x more lipid content than day 1 hatchlings: was rich in PC, PE and CHO, and showed a moderate content in TAG.

> P. varians was highly rich in both 16:0 and 20:5 n-3 (EPA), and mildly rich in 18:0, 18:1 n-7, 18:1 n-9 and 22:6 n-3 (DHA); \succ The overall FA profile of this prey was extremely rich in n-3 HUFA.

References: Castro et al. 1992. Mar. Biol. 114, 11-20; Christie, W.W., 1982. Lipid Analysis. Pergamon Press, Oxford; Domingues et al. 2004. Aquaculture. 229, 239-254; Olsen, R.E., Henderson, R.J., 1989. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 129, 189-197; Sykes et al. 2006. Vie Et Milieu-Life and Environment. 56, 129-137. Sykes et al. 2009. Aqua. Nut. 15, 38-53.

FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia A.V. Sykes wishes to thank FCT for his grants MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR Portugal (SFRH/BD/12409/2003; SFRH/BPD/36100/2007).



CCMAR-CIMAR L.A., Centro de Ciências do Mar do Algarve, Universidade do Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139, Faro, Portugal. *asykes@ualg.pt; Tel: +351289800900 – ext: 7407; Fax: +351289800069 http://www.ccmar.ualg.pt/fbh/home.html